

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3, 5-28 and 30-37 are pending. Claims 1-3, 5-28 and 30-37 stand rejected.

Claim 1 has been amended. No claims have been added. Support for the amendments is found in the specification, the drawings, and in the claims as originally filed. Applicants submit that the amendments do not add new matter.

Applicants reserve all rights with respect to the applicability of the Doctrine of Equivalents.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The representative for applicants Tatiana Rossin thanks the Examiner for the courtesy of the telephonic interview on October 16, 2007. The applicants' proposed amendments in response to the Office Action mailed on July, 2007 were discussed. The Examiner asked the representative for applicants to provide a proposed amendment in light of the discussion.

Claim 1 has been rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7, 162, 539 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,438,652 to Jordan et al. ("Jordan") and U.S. Patent No. 6,249,801 to Zisapel et al. ("Zisapel").

Without admitting that this double patenting rejection is proper, applicants have submitted herewith a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.321.

Therefore, applicants respectfully submit that the non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claim 1 has been overcome.

Claims 1-3, 5-28, and 30-37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended claim 1 in light of the Examiner's rejection. Therefore, applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is now patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Given that claims 2-3, 5-28, and 30-37 depend from amended claim 1, and add additional limitations, applicants respectfully submit that claims 2-3, 5-28, and 30-37 are now patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

It is respectfully submitted that in view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, the rejections have been overcome. If the Examiner believes a further telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Tatiana Rossin or Lester Vincent at (408) 720-8300.

If there are any additional charges, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: October 22, 2007

By: _____

Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040
(408) 720-8300
Fax No. (408) 720-8383

Customer No. 008791